



Appendix I:

Strategy Evaluation Criteria & Matrix

Alternatives Evaluation Criteria



Users Served

1. Commuters
2. Residents
3. Visitors
4. Low-income
5. Seniors
6. Youth

Benefits 5-7 user groups

Benefits 2-4 user groups

Benefits 0-1 user groups

7. Special Needs/Other (disabled, veterans, farmworkers, Amish, etc)

Modes Served

1. Auto/Truck
2. Pedestrian
3. Bicyclist
4. Transit
5. Rail
6. Air
7. Water

Each mode that is served/benefitted would be indicated



Safety

- Improves safety for any users/modes
- Neutral or no impact to safety
- Decreases safety for any users/modes

Cost of Implementation

Considers order of magnitude and life cycle costs, potential to leverage other resources, consideration of immediacy of benefit

- Low/medium order of magnitude & life cycle cost; High potential to leverage other funding sources; Significant benefit early in project life cycle
- High order of magnitude and life cycle costs but high potential to leverage other funding sources; Low/medium order of magnitude and life cycle costs but low potential to leverage other funding sources; Benefits are distributed evenly across the life of project
- High order of magnitude and life cycle cost; Low potential to leverage other funding sources; Benefit is delayed or ramps up over life of project

Timeframe/Ease of Implementation

Considers timeframe, availability of technology, support/partners for implementation

- Short (0-5 years) timeframe, established technology & support/partnership network
- Mid-term/Continuous (6-15 years) timeframe, potential for support/partnerships but nothing established
- Long-term (16+ years) timeframe, no technology currently available, no established support/partnerships

Connectivity Within Livingston County

Destinations

1. Workplaces/educational facilities
2. Medical facilities
3. Recreational/historic/social/ special events
4. Commercial establishments
5. Food sources

- Establishes 3-5 new or improved direct connections between major origin/destinations within the County for any users/modes
- Establishes 1-2 new or improved direct connections between major origin/destinations within the County for any users/modes or has the potential to improve/increase connectivity
- Eliminates an existing direct connection between major origin/destinations within the County

Alternatives Evaluation Criteria Cont.



Connectivity Outside Livingston County

- Establishes 3-5 new or improved direct connections between major origin/destinations outside the County for any users/modes
- Establishes 1-2 new or improved direct connections between major origin/destinations outside the County for any users/modes or has the potential to improve/increase connectivity
- Eliminates an existing direct connection between major origin/destinations outside the County

Consistency With Planning Efforts

- Consistent with 2 or more documented local or regional planning efforts
- Consistent with 1 documented local or regional planning effort
- Not known to be documented in other local or regional planning effort

Environmental, Historical, & Cultural Impacts

Considers sustainability practices or potential for them, preserving & promoting historic/cultural characteristics, direct impacts to environment, etc.

- Positive impact to environmental, historical, & cultural assets within the County
- Neutral or no impact to environmental, historical, & cultural assets within the County
- Negative impact to environmental, historical, & cultural assets within the County

Impacts to business/industrial/economic development

Considers tourism, job retention, creation, and expansion, impacts to operations, new business development, etc.

- Positive impact to business/industrial/economic development
- Neutral or no impact to business/industrial/economic development
- Negative impact to business/industrial/economic development

Quality of life/public health

- Positive impact to quality of life/public health
- Neutral or no impact to quality of life/public health
- Negative impact to quality of life/public health

County-wide Action Plan
Strategy Matrix

- 2 - Positive
- ◐ 1 - No impact/neutral
- 0 - Negative

Goal	Strategy	Criteria	Users Served	Modes Served	Safety	Cost of Implementation	Timeframe/Ease of Implementation	Connectivity Within Livingston County	Connectivity Outside Livingston County	Consistency with Planning Efforts	Environmental, Historical, & Cultural Impacts	Business, Industrial, & Economic Development Impacts	Quality of Life/Public Health Impacts	Total	Notes
		Considers # of user groups benefited		Emphasizes importance of improving safety for all users and all modes through recommended strategies/ projects	Considers order of magnitude and life cycle costs, potential to leverage other resources, consideration of immediacy of benefit	Considers timeframe, availability of technology, support/partners for implementation	Considers the improvement or establishment of a new connection(s) between destinations within the County	Considers the improvement or establishment of a new connection(s) outside of the County	Considers if the strategy/project has been identified/ documented in other studies or previous planning efforts	Considers sustainability practices or potential for them, preserving & promoting historic/cultural characteristics, direct impacts to environment, etc.	Considers tourism, job retention, creation, and expansion, impacts to operations, new business development, etc.	Considers the improvement of the quality of life and encouragement of active transportation to improve public health			
#1: Provide a sustainable transportation network that provides facilities for and encourages use by all modes, with particular emphasis on pedestrians and bicyclists.	#1.a: Implement standard roadway cross sections that include pedestrian/bicycle infrastructure	●		●	◐	◐	●	◐	●	◐	●	●	16	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: text Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#1	#1.b: Provide pedestrian/bicycle amenities such as bike parking, landscaping, street furniture, etc	●		●	●	●	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	18	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: text Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#1	#1.c: Close gaps in trails network & improve trailhead access/amenities	●		●	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	●	●	18	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: text Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#1	#1.d: Improve existing & create new river/boating access	◐		●	●	●	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	17	Users served: Residents, Visitors Connectivity w/in County: text Planning efforts: Genesee-Finger Lakes Regional Blueway Analysis, V of Avon Comp Plan, FL Regional Sustainability Plan	
#1	#1.e: Develop/update zoning/comprehensive plans	●		●	●	●	●	●	◐	●	●	●	19	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: FL Regional Sustainability Plan	
#1	#1.f: Market/promote active transportation	●		◐	◐	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	18	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: All Destinations Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#1	#1.g: Continue to identify & leverage potential funding options including various grants, private/public partnerships, etc	●		◐	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	19	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#1	#1.h: Improve access to significant business and residential hubs	●		●	◐	◐	●	●	●	◐	●	●	17	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: Workplaces, Commercial Establishments, Neighborhoods Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#2: Improve safety for all modes and users of the transportation system through system design, innovative technology, and education.	#2.a: Encourage/discourage truck traffic on specific roadways	●		●	◐	●	○	○	●	◐	◐	●	13	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: eliminates some connections Planning efforts: T of Genesee Outside Village, Freight/Goods Movement in the GFL Region	
#2	#2.b: Develop access management plans & implement recommendations	●		●	●	●	●	◐	●	◐	●	◐	17	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: All Destinations Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#2	#2.c: Review existing roadway characteristics	●		●	◐	●	●	●	◐	◐	◐	◐	15	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: FL Regional Sustainability Plan	
#2	#2.d: Implement intersection, roadway, & corridor safety improvements	●		●	●	●	●	●	●	◐	◐	●	18	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#2	#2.e: Install intersection- and pedestrian-level lighting	●		●	●	●	●	●	●	◐	◐	●	18	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	

County-wide Action Plan
Strategy Matrix

- 2 - Positive
- ◐ 1 - No impact/neutral
- 0 - Negative

Goal	Strategy	Criteria	Users Served	Modes Served	Safety	Cost of Implementation	Timeframe/Ease of Implementation	Connectivity Within Livingston County	Connectivity Outside Livingston County	Consistency with Planning Efforts	Environmental, Historical, & Cultural Impacts	Business, Industrial, & Economic Development Impacts	Quality of Life/Public Health Impacts	Total	Notes
		Considers # of user groups benefited	     	Emphasizes importance of improving safety for all users and all modes through recommended strategies/ projects	Considers order of magnitude and life cycle costs, potential to leverage other resources, consideration of immediacy of benefit	Considers timeframe, availability of technology, support/partners for implementation	Considers the improvement or establishment of a new connection(s) within the County	Considers the improvement or establishment of a new connection(s) outside of the County	Considers if the strategy/project has been identified/ documented in other studies or previous planning efforts	Considers sustainability practices or potential for them, preserving & promoting historic/cultural characteristics, direct impacts to environment, etc.	Considers tourism, job retention, creation, and expansion, impacts to operations, new business development, etc.	Considers the improvement of the quality of life and encouragement of active transportation to improve public health			
#2	#2.f: Evaluate existing speed limits & increase enforcement	●	  	●	◐	●	●	●	●	◐	◐	●	17	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#2	#2.g: Educate the public on the rules of the road – all users/modes	●	   	●	●	●	●	●	◐	◐	◐	●	17	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: Safe Routes to School Guidebook for the GFL Region	
#3: Improve freight transportation infrastructure and access.	#3.a: Improve access to regional priority economic development sites: Dansville Industrial Park, Livonia Gateway Park, 2 Avon Parks & Caledonia Industrial Development Area	◐	    	◐	◐	◐	●	●	●	○	●	◐	13	Users served: Commuters, Residents, Visitors Connectivity w/in County: All Destinations Planning efforts: Livonia Comp Plan, Freight/Goods Movement in the GFL Region, Rt 20A Access Management Plan, FL Regional Sustainability Plan	
#3	#3.b: Maintain, repair, & rebuild key components of regional rail network including the Portage Bridge and the Rochester & Southern Railroad rail line between Dansville & Mt Morris	◐		●	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	●	◐	16	Users served: Residents, Other Connectivity w/in County: Workplaces, Commercial Establishments Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#3	#3.c: Evaluate and improve rail crossings	●	 	●	◐	◐	●	●	◐	◐	◐	◐	14	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: FL Regional Sustainability Plan	
#3	#3.d: Maintain airport facilities: Dansville & Geneseo Airports	◐		◐	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	●	◐	15	Users served: Residents, Visitors Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: Dansville/N Dansville Comp Plan, 2005 Dansville Municipal Airport Airport Layout Plan Update	
#3	#3.e: Market/promote/educate - importance of freight transportation	○		◐	●	●	●	●	●	●	●	◐	16	Users served: Other Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: Freight/Goods Movement in the GFL Region, FL Regional Sustainability Plan	
#4: Provide comprehensive and innovative public transportation services through LATS and other human service providers.	#4.a: Improve route connectivity & transfers	●		◐	●	●	●	◐	●	●	●	●	18	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: All Connectivity Outside County: Monroe County, Possibly Wyoming Co. Planning Efforts:	
#4	#4.b: Simplify route structure to focus on core services	●		◐	●	●	◐	◐	◐	◐	●	●	15	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: May add connectivity but focus is on improving existing connections Connectivity Outside County: Improve ease of connection w/ Monroe County Planning Efforts:	
#4	#4.c: Improve frequency/service span	●		◐	○	●	●	◐	●	●	●	●	16	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: All Connectivity Outside County: Monroe County Planning Efforts:	

County-wide Action Plan
Strategy Matrix

- 2 - Positive
- ◐ 1 - No impact/neutral
- 0 - Negative

Goal	Strategy	Criteria	Users Served	Modes Served	Safety	Cost of Implementation	Timeframe/Ease of Implementation	Connectivity Within Livingston County	Connectivity Outside Livingston County	Consistency with Planning Efforts	Environmental, Historical, & Cultural Impacts	Business, Industrial, & Economic Development Impacts	Quality of Life/Public Health Impacts	Total	Notes
		Considers # of user groups benefited		Emphasizes importance of improving safety for all users and all modes through recommended strategies/ projects	Considers order of magnitude and life cycle costs, potential to leverage other resources, consideration of immediacy of benefit	Considers timeframe, availability of technology, support/partners for implementation	Considers the improvement or establishment of a new connection(s) between destinations within the County	Considers the improvement or establishment of a new connection(s) outside of the County	Considers if the strategy/project has been identified/ documented in other studies or previous planning efforts	Considers sustainability practices or potential for them, preserving & promoting historic/cultural characteristics, direct impacts to environment, etc.	Considers tourism, job retention, creation, and expansion, impacts to operations, new business development, etc.	Considers the improvement of the quality of life and encouragement of active transportation to improve public health			
#4	#4.d: Improve out of county transit service & connections	●		◐	○	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	●	15	<p>Users served: All</p> <p>Connectivity w/in County: Likely improves internal connectivity through express service</p> <p>Connectivity Outside County: Monroe County (Strong, Downtown, others?), Possibly Wyoming Co.</p> <p>Planning Efforts:</p>	
#4	#4.e: Document services & contact info for human service providers and create new or expand partnerships with non-profit and private transportation providers	●		◐	●	●	●	●	◐	◐	◐	●	16	<p>Users served: All</p> <p>Connectivity w/in County: Improved awareness of transportation services, organization, efficiency in service</p> <p>Connectivity Outside County: Same goes for connections to out-of-county.</p> <p>Planning Efforts:</p>	
#4	#4.f: Enhance existing & create new transit stops – ensure safe & secure pedestrian/bicycle access/ADA compliance	●		●	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	●	13	<p>Users served: All</p> <p>Connectivity w/in County: All</p> <p>Connectivity Outside County: Monroe County, Possibly Wyoming Co.</p> <p>Planning Efforts:</p>	
#4	#4.g: Improve marketing/promotional/ educational outreach	●		◐	●	●	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	●	14	<p>Users served: All</p> <p>Connectivity w/in County: Improved awareness of transit service should allow some users who did not previous understand/have access to use transit for trips they could not previously make (or at least not easily); affects all location types</p> <p>Connectivity Outside County: Same goes for connections to out-of-county.</p> <p>Planning Efforts:</p>	
#5: Promote efficient, innovative, and sustainable system management, maintenance, and operations.	#5.a: Develop & execute a maintenance schedule for infrastructure that prioritizes key facilities	●		◐	●	●	●	●	●	◐	●	◐	17	<p>Users served: All</p> <p>Connectivity w/in County: N/A</p> <p>Planning efforts: GTC Regional Trails, FL Regional Sustainability Plan</p>	
#5	#5.b: Establish service/maintenance agreements	○		◐	●	◐	●	●	◐	◐	◐	◐	12	<p>Users served: Other</p> <p>Connectivity w/in County: N/A</p> <p>Planning efforts: GTC Regional Trails</p>	
#5	#5.c: Develop special event & emergency traffic management plans	●		●	◐	●	●	●	◐	●	◐	◐	16	<p>Users served: All</p> <p>Connectivity w/in County: N/A</p> <p>Planning efforts: Not directly noted in reviewed documents but indirect effect of strategy would support numerous efforts</p>	
#5	#5.d: Continue to identify & leverage potential funding options to address key infrastructure deficiencies	●		●	●	●	●	●	●	◐	●	●	19	<p>Users served: All</p> <p>Connectivity w/in County: N/A</p> <p>Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies</p>	

County-wide Action Plan
Strategy Matrix

- 2 - Positive
- ◐ 1 - No impact/neutral
- 0 - Negative

Goal	Strategy	Criteria	Users Served	Modes Served	Safety	Cost of Implementation	Timeframe/Ease of Implementation	Connectivity Within Livingston County	Connectivity Outside Livingston County	Consistency with Planning Efforts	Environmental, Historical, & Cultural Impacts	Business, Industrial, & Economic Development Impacts	Quality of Life/Public Health Impacts	Total	Notes
		Considers # of user groups benefited		Emphasizes importance of improving safety for all users and all modes through recommended strategies/ projects	Considers order of magnitude and life cycle costs, potential to leverage other resources, consideration of immediacy of benefit	Considers timeframe, availability of technology, support/partners for implementation	Considers the improvement or establishment of a new connection(s) between destinations within the County	Considers the improvement or establishment of a new connection(s) outside of the County	Considers if the strategy/project has been identified/ documented in other studies or previous planning efforts	Considers sustainability practices or potential for them, preserving & promoting historic/cultural characteristics, direct impacts to environment, etc.	Considers tourism, job retention, creation, and expansion, impacts to operations, new business development, etc.	Considers the improvement of the quality of life and encouragement of active transportation to improve public health			
#6: Preserve, protect, and enhance the County's quality of life, natural environment, cultural heritage, and community appearance.	#6.a: Support the rural & small town economy through improved services, programs, access and other initiatives	●		◐	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	●	●	17	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: All Destinations Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#6	#6.b: Research, implement, and/or support initiatives that reduce transportation energy consumption	●		◐	◐	●	●	●	◐	●	●	●	17	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: FL Regional Sustainability Plan	
#6	#6.c: Develop connections between transportation, culture, and tourism through trail development, connectivity with historic landmarks, etc	●		◐	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	●	●	17	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: All Destinations Planning efforts: numerous plans/studies	
#6	#6.d: Encourage growth in centers & support downtown revitalization	●		◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	15	Users served: All Connectivity w/in County: N/A Planning efforts: FL Regional Sustainability Plan, GTC Long Range Trans Plan	